

# FACETS

## Intervention Principles for Family-guided Routines

Interventionists must:

- **Observe interactions**
- **Identify careprovider strategies**
- **Suggest opportunities**
- **Share child signals**
- **Demonstrate choices**
- **Synthesize routine**

FACETS is a joint project of Kansas University Affiliated Program and Valdosta State University

Many times careproviders naturally demonstrate embedded intervention and use of various strategies within play or care routines. An observant service provider can capitalize on this occurrence and reinforcing its appropriateness.

Cripe, J. W. & Venn, M. L. (1997). Family-guided routines for early intervention services. *Young Exceptional Children*, 1, 18-26.

FACETS is a joint project of Kansas University Affiliated Program and Valdosta State University

A wide variety of empirically validated procedures and intervention strategies appropriate for use within family-guided routines exist. The key to success is for the family to guide the intervention process by identifying procedures and strategies that “match” their own and their child’s learning style within the routine. Determining that “match” can be accomplished through observation of the careprovider and child within the routine and through conversations with the careprovider.

Because families generally are not familiar with procedures or strategies supportive of embedding intervention, it is the responsibility of the interventionist to:

- observe the careprovider - child interactions within the routine;
- identify strategies the careprovider is already using successfully to enhance feeling of competence;
- delineate potential opportunities for training to be embedded within the routine without interfering with the routine;
- share the signals and skills the child currently uses within the routine to provide developmental information;
- demonstrate potential strategies as choices for the careprovider to use;
- observe and synthesize the sequence and strategies used by the careprovider to support implementation.

This multi-step process is completed through observations and joint problem solving between the careprovider and interventionist in a comfortable interaction with the child.

Some careproviders, as part of their usual pattern of interactions, use facial expressions that encourage responses from others, are likely to wait for responses, before proceeding, or ask open-ended questions. Others may use gestures as cues, provide repetition, or model more sophisticated skills for the child. Before “teaching” new intervention strategies to careproviders, it is essential to build upon what each care-provider already knows and uses. Identification of effective strategies again enhances the competence of the careproviders, increases the likelihood that the teaching and learning opportunities will occur frequently, and respects the uniqueness of each careprovider and child dyad.

---

Notes

Many careproviders equate “teaching” with a very directive style, often associated with the education system they last attended. It is crucial to explain “why” naturalistic intervention strategies are appropriate for routines and to share the efficacy data regarding different procedures. Families can’t learn to guide the intervention process unless interventionists share the information they need in order to make decisions.

In *Directive Instruction*, adults tend to:

- initiate the focus / topic of the teaching interaction;
- use direct comments or questions requiring a one word response or simple motor action;
- change focus / topics after the child responds;
- expect immediate responses;
- rely on directives (e.g. “Tell me, juice,” “Push the button.”); and,
- use extrinsic reinforcers (“Good”).

In *Naturalistic Interventions*, adults tend to:

- provide opportunities for the child to initiate;
- use indirect questions or comments to maintain interaction;
- continue the exchange for multiple turns;
- pause expectantly to provide time for the child to respond or initiate;
- use naturally occurring consequences (juice becomes the reinforcer, not “good talking”).

There is really not a dichotomy of directive vs. naturalistic strategies but rather a continuum with many variations available from which interventionists and careproviders may choose. Naturalistic interventions increase opportunities for children to communicate, to initiate interactions, and to respond with a complex or sophisticated turn. While children may need to begin learning with physical or verbal assistance, decreasing the support needed increases the child's independence.

When introducing new intervention strategies, actions speak louder than words. Careproviders appreciate the demonstration of new strategies by service providers. It is easier to remember to embed opportunities and use specific strategies when they have observed them rather than just heard or read about them. After demonstrating the strategy, providing opportunities for the careprovider to practice using the strategy within the routine is crucial. The service provider then has the chance to observe and provide feedback. The demonstration and practice also allows the service provider to be more confident that the goals and strategies within the routine are appropriate for the child. Discussion and joint problem solving between the family members and service providers continue to support the family-guided nature of the intervention.



## Intervention Principles



- Developmentally appropriate
- Active engagement
- Joint attention
- Follow child's lead
- Positive expectation
- New/familiar

 is a joint project of Kansas University Affiliated Program and Valdosta State University

Keep in mind that teaching strategies should not interfere with the flow of the normal routine or activity. Equally important to remember is that not all care providers will be comfortable with various strategies. Just as individualizing outcomes is crucial for young children, teaching strategies must be individualized for the outcomes, the child and family preferences, and the environment. It is important to give careproviders choices of strategies they wish to use, and then follow up later by asking them how comfortable they feel with the teaching strategy they selected. A final caution to the early interventionist is not to overload careproviders with multiple strategies in routines. More is not necessarily better!

## General Principles

Within routines and play activities, implementation of the following guidelines facilitate successful interventions:

- **The careprovider incorporates developmentally appropriate communication and actions.**

Interactions between the careprovider and child are more successful for teaching and learning when they closely reflect the child's current developmental level. The child must have the skills necessary to participate meaningfully. The careprovider's challenge is to "up the ante" by introducing a moderate change that will increase the child's use of the skill either qualitatively (e.g. how?) or quantitatively (e.g. how often?).

- **Children learn most efficiently when they are actively engaged.**

Young children (and probably most old ones) need to be involved in the teaching and learning process. The adage of the child as "an empty vessel waiting to be filled with knowledge" portrays the child as passive throughout the process. Quite to the contrary, children learn by doing. They look, touch, taste, climb, tell, throw, poke, and smell. Encouraging the child's active participation increases learning.

- **The careprovider's attention provides a reason for interaction.**

Attention from a caring, interested adult can provide both the interest to interact and the reinforcement for trying. Children (as well as adults) seek to communicate or participate in activities with others who are approachable, responsive, attentive, and fun! Careproviders who plan to embed intervention into daily routines need to appreciate the power they have with the child. Careproviders can be the child's favorite toy and best reinforcement!



**"Outside play is a good learning time for him. He loves to be outside, and he's more receptive. The things we do outside are enjoyable to him and don't feel like work. He can have a great time and learn-the best of both worlds."**



 is a joint project of Kansas University Affiliated Program and Valdosta State University

## Notes

- **Following the child's lead enhances attention.**

Using the child's interests assures joint attention. The careprovider focuses where the child is engaged and in doing so, accomplishes the first step of a successful interaction. Participation initiated by the child often results in longer attention to the activity, increased opportunities to practice skills, and decreased need for external reinforcement. However, to be effective, the environment or routine must be arranged to attract the interests of the child.

- **Positive expectation increases child participation.**

If the careprovider expects the child to participate, the child is very likely to do so! In the same manner, if the careprovider does not expect participation and plan for it to occur, then it is also very likely that the child will fulfill that prophecy and not participate. The careprovider should approach each opportunity positively, expecting the child's interest and interactions. When positive expectations are combined with the other principles described, the child will, in turn, reinforce the adult with attention and interaction.

- **Introduce new skills in familiar routines and use new routines for generalization of skills.**

The framework of a familiar and predictable routine supports learning new skills. The child can focus attention onto the specific requirements of the skill rather than dividing attention between the activity, the environment, and the skill. Once the skill is learned, the child can then practice it successfully in a variety of new routines because the skill is familiar and predictable.



**“We try to incorporate as much as possible into any life activity.”**

 is a joint project of Kansas University Affiliated Program and Valdosta State University

Cripe, J. W. & Venn, M. L. (1997). Family-guided routines for early intervention services. *Young Exceptional Children*, 18-26.

Owens, R. E. (1995). *Language disorders: A functional approach to assessment and intervention*, (2nd edition ed.) Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

Smith, B. J., Miller, P. S., & Bredekamp S. (1998). Sharing responsibility: DEC-, NAEYC, and Vygotsky-Based practices for quality inclusion. *Young Exceptional Children*, 2(1), 19-21.

Wolery, M. (1994). Instructional strategies for teaching young children with special needs. In M. Wolery & J., Wilbers (Eds.) *Including children with special needs in early childhood programs* (pp. 119-140). Washington, DC: National Association for the Education of Young Children.